12/7/67

Dear Paul,

I missed the Arnesto stuff in 2121. One of the problems of the vastness of the material and the inability to retain all of it. I finished my basic work in the printed volumes in February 1965. Forgot too much.

Saturday I'm going to NO to work there for a week. I'll call this to Jim's attention.

Your questions are very good ones. However, I'll have little time in the immediate future for other than the most vital checking at the Archives. I simply must find time to get back to work, what I call constructive work, getting my writing done. I hope someone can check those things out. I'll take them up with Tom.

There is no doubt in my mind that the FBI and Secret Service knew the significance of the 544 Camp St. address and were, a few days after the assassination, when they began to understand what was involved, very worried about it coming out.

I have not researched those points.

I cannot believe Hall did not know what he was doing. I now understand that Regis Kennedy's trips to Banister's office were as regular as those of a stray dog making his rounds of the garbage pails. It is significant to me that Kennedy, who saw Banister regularly, was not the man who handled that part. My source on this is a man in a position to know. I cannot vouch for his reliability. However, this figures. He also placed Mike Cortona and Piedra in Banister's office.

On this trip, I think I'll try and see Jones and Silvera at the printing co. I think they can say more. I am satisfied each was certain the man was not Oswald. If you saw the Fyne Show, you know the use I'd like to make of the two handbills. I think, coming at the end, it would be effective. The envelope without the letter has not yet arrived.

Many thanks. I'll be back 12/10. Please nudge Hal on the B tape and transcript. I may have wanted to take it with me. Tell him I have also tried to line him up with a little free-lance PR work, of which I'll write him on my return.

I am working on something I think will develop: having Hal in NO to be a liaison with you people and your information at the time of the trial.

Best to you all. See you in early February.

By the way, I think, for the moment, it might be better not to ask Liebeler. I am working on the (remote) possibility of a major confrontation, where it would, in his words, perhaps effectively "add to the pile". Use your own judgement, however. I think he'll be the first rat to run around the ship is sinking.

Sincerely,